

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I do not consider that you have complied with the duty to utilise brownfield sites, before consideration of Greenbelt. The reason for this is probably that Greenbelt is much more attractive and profitable to developers.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Go back and look at the available brownfield sites.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	Experience shows that the properties built on greenbelt are not intended to cater for mixed tenure or lower income families. They also, given that the infrastructure is not available (except road and motorway links, attract multi car commuters adding to pollution and not decreasing it.

<b>to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Re think the whole greenbelt policy.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See above. In no possible way are these affordable homes.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I live in REDACTED TEXT, which unfortunately is situated at the border of two Authorities, Rochdale & Bury. Rochdale has been very active in allowing largescale housebuilding in this area (see Langley Lane developments near M62). There is also an Academy High School in the process of being built on Heywood Old Road. Some few years ago a housing estate was built at the bottom of Heywood Old Road. So many homes have been created in the area. Bury now want to add many more along Heywood Old Road and Rhodes Green. This will have the effect of changing the area from semi rural (albeit ringed by motorways and roads), in to what will be a vast built up area comprising two schools and possible various industrial/transport hubs. It will completely erode the autonomous districts of Bowlee and Simister, Which will be swallowed up completely,
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Failure to look at Brownfield sites properly.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	"Our urban green spaces are essential to our way of life, making a vital contribution to our mental and physical health, and our overall quality of life. In busy, urban areas they can offer a moment of tranquillity and provide

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p><b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>people with opportunities for recreation, social interaction, and to connect with nature.</p> <p>Significantly, they will become increasingly important as a result of climate change, helping to cool overheating urban areas, manage flood risk and enable wildlife to adapt. Other important environmental functions include the ability to mitigate air pollution and help manage water quality.....@</p> <p>You say the above and present a plant that obliterates a large swathe of greenbelt.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Go back and look at Brownfield sites</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Foley</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Brenda</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1287006</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>As previous answers, obliterating Greenbelt.</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Foley</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Brenda</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1287006</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Your policy documents are filled with unnecessary jargon and I think are set out in such a way as to overwhelm anyone trying to articulate their thoughts.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	See answers above. Yo do not enhance biodiversity and geodiversity by covering greenbelt in buildings and roads, encouraging further car use and increasing C02 emissions.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>You say....."Green Belt land serves a specific purpose - it helps separate our towns and cities to prevent them merging into one big urban area. Doing this helps ensure smaller places are able to retain their identity and are not swallowed up by larger neighbours.</p> <p>The scale of development that needs to be accommodated as part of Places for Everyone over the next two decades means that some changes to the green belt boundaries within the districts involved are necessary, but these have been minimised as far as possible, having regard in particular to the need to promote sustainable patterns of development."</p> <p>However, for those of us situated in the area (JPA 1.2) where a large part of the greenbelt will be taken, this does not ring true. The proposed development will have the opposite effect of "separating...preventing the merging of urban sprawl". It will do exactly this.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Go back and re think and look more closely at Brownfield.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	This looks a lot like land banking for future profit for Developers.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	JPA 1.2 relies on obliteration of greenbelt. Hi density housebuilding, school building and transport hub/industrial hub. Properties will not be affordable, car use will increase. It will have the effect of merging distinct settlements in to one large sprawling area. An already congested road will become even worse. transport will not be sufficient enough, reliable enough or attractive enough to decrease car traffic and, as a result, increase C02 emissions, while at the same time decreasing the natural green land that can help combat the problem.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Scrap it and go back to look at alternatives/Brownfield.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JP-P5 Education Skills and Knowledge
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	JPA1.2. I fail to see how the proposals for this area do anything to improve access to better educational opportunities.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-P6 Health
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Heywood Old Road is a very busy road and the effect of the car emissions is partly mitigated by the greenspace available. You are proposing to remove that space and further add to emissions.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-P7 Sport and Recreation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	JPA1.2 There are currently a number of leisure centres/playing fields and lanes for walking in this area, The countryside has been especially beneficial during the lockdown periods with many many more walkers using the area. I am glad to see that it has continued to a high degree. There are also horse riders/ramblers and cyclist that regurlay use the area. Your plan would remove all of this.
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Foley
<b>Given Name</b>	Brenda
<b>Person ID</b>	1287006
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 6 Clean Air
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA